-))

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6136 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE RAVI R. TRIPATHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

JAYANTILAL JETHALAL DOSHI SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HEIRS
Versus
COMPETENT AUTHORITY & DY. COLLECTOR, ULC

Appearance:
MR SURESH M SHAH for Petitioners
Mr.K.P. Raval, ASSTT GOVERNMENT PLEADER for
Respondent No. 1, 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE RAVI R. TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 02/03/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

In the present Special Civil Application, the petitioner has prayed for quashing and setting aside the impugned orders dated 30.11.1983 and 19.8.1987 at Annexure 'C' & 'D' respectively passed by the competent

authority and Deputy Collector (Urban Land Ceiling Act) and Urban Land Ceiling Tribunal respectively. This Court issued rule on 16.1.1989 and granted interim relief of further proceedings beyond sec.10(2) of ULC Act on the condition that the petitioner shall maintain status quo as to title and construction. It may be noted that this Court while issuing notice on 24.11.1987 returnable on 22.12.1987, had passed order to maintain status quo in the meanwhile. Today, when the matter is called out, Shri K.P. Raval, learned Assistant Government Pleader has produced a copy of letter dated 18.2.2001 written by the competent authority & Additional Collector (ULC Act), Rajkot wherein details are set out regarding this matter. A copy of said letter is produced on record. In view of that the matter abates in view of the Repeal Act inasmuch as under Repeat Act, the orders in question do not survive any further.

2. The Special Civil Application stands disposed of accordingly. Rule is discharged. Interim Relief is vacated. No order as to costs.

(Ravi R. Tripathi, J.)

karim